

USITP-94-06

April 1994

The stochastic quantization method for systems with dissipation

RODANTHY TZANI[†]

*Stockholm University, Department of Physics,
Box 6730, S-11385 Stockholm, SWEDEN*

ABSTRACT

The stochastic quantization of dissipative systems is discussed. It is shown that in order to stochastically quantize a system with dissipation, one has to restrict the Fourier transform of the space-time variable to the positive half domain in the complex plane. This breaks the time-reversal invariance, which manifests in the formulation through the resulting noninvariant forms for the propagators. The relation of the stochastic approach with the Caldeira and Leggett path-integral method is also analyzed.

[†] tzani@vana.physto.se; address after September 1994: Imperial College, Physics Dept., Prince Consort Road, London SW72BZ

The quantization of a classical system may, in general, be hindered by several obstacles, such as nonrenormalizability, topological obstructions, anomalies etc. There exists, moreover, a whole class of systems for which there is *no* available method of quantization, namely non-hamiltonian systems. The quantization of systems with no lagrangian or hamiltonian formulation, apart from the well-known examples of systems with dissipation, includes several interesting physical problems, such as the Bargmann-Wigner higher spin field equations [1] and field theories of fundamental anyons [2]. For the Bargmann-Wigner system of equations there is no action which directly reproduces this set of equations, although the quantization for higher spin systems has been done using more complicated and indirect ways [3]. The case of anyonic systems is known to be unsolved. The most common example of a non-hamiltonian system is, nevertheless, the case of a particle on the line with friction. Its equation of motion is

$$m\ddot{x} + \gamma\dot{x} + \frac{dV}{dx} = 0 \quad (1)$$

where overdot denotes time derivative and $V(x)$ is the potential of the particle.

If we assume an action of the form

$$S = \int f(x, \dot{x}, t) dt \quad (2)$$

for the above equation, the variation of the action gives

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta x} = \int dt \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}} \dot{x} - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \dot{x}^2} \ddot{x} \right) \quad (3)$$

Assuming, now, that the second derivative term of the equation is multiplied by a constant, that is, $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \dot{x}^2} = m$, the function f can be written as $f = f_1(x, t) + f_2(x, t)\dot{x} + \frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}^2$. Putting this form of f into (3) we obtain

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta x} = \int \left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial t} - m\ddot{x} \right) dt$$

which never contains first derivative terms. Therefore, there is no action from the variation of which the friction term can be derived and therefore no canonical

structure and no quantum mechanics for the system (1). (Notice that in the search for an action above we do not allow for an equation of motion which is multiplied by a time-dependent factor. Such time-dependent factors of the form $e^{f(t)}$ have been considered [4] in the past, but they lead to a time-dependent hamiltonian and therefore not desirable physics.)

The model (1) (and its higher dimensional versions), apart from its interest as a phenomenological description of dissipation due to interactions, also has recently found applications in string theory [5].

There are mainly two approaches that have been used in the literature in the study of this problem. The first consists of considering the quantization of these systems as a fundamental physical question and try to find a direct quantization procedure which classically reduces to the known dynamics [6,7]. The second consists in coupling the system with a many degrees of freedom reservoir. The system plus the reservoir, now, can be described by a hamiltonian and the dissipation is due to the interaction of the system with the reservoir. In this case the problem is reduced to finding a way to “integrate out” the extra degrees of freedom of the reservoir in order to finally obtain a quantum mechanical description of the original system [8–9]. The first approach is more fundamental and mathematical while the second is more physical.

Using the second approach in the study of tunneling in dissipative systems, Caldeira and Leggett [9] have derived an effective action for (1) in the euclidean time. In their path-integral approach the term which classically produces the friction force corresponds to a non-local term in the action. Their effective action is given by

$$S_{(eff)}(x(t)) = \int_0^\tau [\frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}^2 + V(x)]dt + \frac{\gamma}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\tau dt dt' \frac{[x(t) - x(t')]^2}{(t - t')^2} \quad (4)$$

The approach of Caldeira and Leggett has, however, some disadvantages. Coupling the system to an infinite set of oscillators and then integrating them out, leads to a

state where the fourth moment of the field variable diverges. This is due to the zero point motion of the set of oscillators, which perturb the system in a substantial way [10]. Moreover, it is not clear that this approach is equivalent to a fundamental quantization procedure of dissipative systems. Nevertheless, this action has been used in the literature in a similar context in order to describe the quantum effect of friction [11].

The first approach was followed by Kostin [6] who has proposed a modified Schrödinger equation for the model (1) in which the friction is reproduced through a wavefunction-dependent potential. This approach exhibits also some drawbacks: it violates the superposition principle, and has stationary states in which the energy does not dissipate. (The same equation was rederived by Yasue [12] using Nelson's stochastic quantization scheme [13].) Although we do not expect the quantum mechanics of dissipative systems to have the same properties as the standard ones, the fact that one obtains stationary non-dissipative states for these systems is counter-intuitive. Due to these rather unphysical properties Kostin's equation has not been used extensively in the literature.

This last approach of a modified Schrödinger equation has been extended further by Polychronakos and the author in a previous paper [10] by allowing a wavefunction-dependent first-derivative term. This study resulted in a parametric non-linear Schrödinger equation for the particle with friction which reproduces Kostin's equation for a specific value of the parameter. This family of equations avoids some of the problems that Kostin's equation exhibited, such as the stationary states.

In the present paper, taking the point of view of fundamentally quantizing the friction system, we study the stochastic quantization approach of equation (1). Stochastic quantization [14] has the advantage that it relies only on the classical equation of motion. It essentially consists of reproducing the weighting factor $\exp(-S)$ of the euclidean path-integral as a limiting probability density of a particular stochastic process. The stochastic process which reproduces the path-integral

results for bosonic fields is given by the following Langevin equation

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tau} = -\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi} + \eta, \quad (5)$$

where $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi}$ is the classical equation of motion, τ is the stochastic time and η is a white noise over spacetime and τ . In the stochastic limit $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ the fields attain their equilibrium distribution with probability density equal to $\exp(-S)$.

Since the stochastic quantization method is based on the equation of motion, one would naively expect to overcome the problem of hamiltonian formulation of these systems and be able to quantize them directly using stochastic quantization method. In this work, we present some peculiarities related with the stochastic quantization approach of dissipative systems.

We consider the simplest example of a particle moving on the line with friction. Its equation of motion is given by (1)

$$m\ddot{x} + 2\gamma\dot{x} + kx = 0, \quad (6)$$

where we have multiplied the friction term by 2 for later convenience and have assumed the harmonic oscillator potential $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}kx^2$. The Langevin corresponding to equation (6) is given by the following euclidean time equation

$$\frac{\partial x(t, \tau)}{\partial \tau} = m\ddot{x}(t, \tau) - 2i\gamma\dot{x}(t, \tau) - kx(t, \tau) + \eta(t, \tau) \quad (7)$$

where

$$\langle \eta(t, \tau) \rangle_\eta = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \eta(t, \tau)\eta(t', \tau') \rangle_\eta = 2\delta(t - t')\delta(\tau - \tau').$$

The first thing to notice about this Langevin is that it is complex. Since the friction term is first order in time derivative, after the Wick rotation to euclidean time this term is imaginary in the expression of $\frac{\delta S}{\delta x}$. Therefore, one faces the

problem of stochastically quantizing complex actions [15] which has not been solved in its generality. In this particular case, however, since the equation of motion is linear in the x variable, the problem can be solved. The solution of the Langevin equation is easily obtained in the Fourier space. The Fourier transform of (7) is

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{x}(\omega, \tau)}{\partial \tau} = (-m\omega^2 + 2\gamma\omega - k)\tilde{x}(\omega, \tau) + \tilde{\eta}(\omega, \tau) \quad (8)$$

where $\tilde{x}(\omega, \tau)$ and $\tilde{\eta}(\omega, \tau)$ are the Fourier transforms of $x(t, \tau)$ and $\eta(t, \tau)$ correspondingly. (Notice that for overcritical damping, that is $\gamma > \gamma_c = \sqrt{km}$, (8) will develop instabilities for values of ω such that $\frac{\gamma}{m} - \sqrt{\frac{\gamma^2}{m^2} - \frac{k}{m}} < \omega < \frac{\gamma}{m} + \sqrt{\frac{\gamma^2}{m^2} - \frac{k}{m}}.$)

Now, in the Fourier space the Langevin becomes real and it naively seems that one avoids the complex action problem by going to Fourier space. This apparent resolution is illusionary, however, and is due to the Fourier transform of first order derivatives ($\tilde{\eta}(\omega, \tau)$ is complex). As we will see in what follows, the problem related with the first order in time derivative term manifests itself in the impossibility to obtain γ -dependent results in this approach. The solution of the last equation is given by

$$\tilde{x}(\omega, \tau) = \int_0^\tau d\tau' \tilde{\eta}(\omega, \tau') e^{(k-2\gamma\omega+m\omega^2)(\tau'-\tau)} \quad (9)$$

where now the η -average in the Fourier space is

$$\langle \tilde{\eta}(\omega, \tau) \tilde{\eta}(\omega_1, \tau_1) \rangle_\eta = 2\delta(\omega + \omega_1) \delta(\tau - \tau_1). \quad (10)$$

The interesting quantities to be computed are correlation functions of the variable $x(t)$. In the Fourier space they are

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \tilde{x}(\omega, \tau) \tilde{x}(\omega_1, \tau_1) \rangle_\eta \\ &= \langle \int_0^\tau d\tau' \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau'' \tilde{\eta}(\omega, \tau') e^{(k-2\gamma\omega+m\omega^2)(\tau'-\tau)} \tilde{\eta}(\omega_1, \tau'') e^{(k-2\gamma\omega_1+m\omega_1^2)(\tau''-\tau_1)} \rangle_\eta \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2\delta(\omega + \omega_1) \frac{1}{(k - 2\gamma\omega + m\omega^2) + (k - 2\gamma\omega_1 + m\omega_1^2)} \quad (11)$$

where we have used the relation (10) and have taken the limit $\tau = \tau_1 \rightarrow \infty$ in order to obtain the last result.

Next, we Fourier transform the last two-point function back to the original space. Because of the form of the white noise average in the Fourier space, namely, given as a delta function of the sum of the ω 's in (10), all linear terms in ω cancel after the integration over ω . We obtain

$$\langle x(t)x(t') \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\omega \frac{1}{m\omega^2 + k} e^{i\omega(t-t')} \quad (12)$$

This integral is calculated by contour integration. The poles ($\omega = \pm i\sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$) lie on the imaginary axis and for $t > t'$ we close the contour in the upper half complex plane while for $t < t'$ we close the contour in the lower half plane. The result obtained is

$$\langle x(t)x(t') \rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{km}} [\theta(t - t') e^{-\sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}(t-t')} + \theta(t' - t) e^{\sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}(t-t')}] \quad (13)$$

which gives

$$\langle x^2(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{km}} \quad (14)$$

for the equal time correlation function of the variable $x(t)$. These results coincide with the results one obtains from the quantization of this system for the $\gamma = 0$ case. Therefore, the naive application of stochastic quantization for this system gives results for the correlation functions that do not depend on the friction term. (It is a straightforward calculation to show that none of the higher order correlation functions depend on the friction parameter.)

The meaning of the last result is the following: adding a friction term in the equation of motion is equivalent to adding a “curl” term in the Fokker-Planck

hamiltonian. Indeed, the Fokker-Planck equation for the system is

$$\int dt \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta x(t)} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x(t)} + \frac{\delta S_0}{\delta x(t)} + F \right) \right] P = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial \tau} \quad (15)$$

where $\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta x(t)}$ is the part of the equation of motion which is derived from an action and F is the friction force, given by $-2i\gamma\dot{x}(t)$ in our case. Because of the form of the Fokker-Planck equation we can identify the probability current as $J_x = -(\frac{\delta}{\delta x(t)} + \frac{\delta S_0}{\delta x(t)} + F)P$ and P is the probability density, which satisfy the probability conservation equation

$$\int dt \frac{\delta J_x}{\delta x(t)} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial \tau} = 0. \quad (16)$$

Then one can show that the part of the current J_f which comes from the friction force is divergenceless. Indeed, the Fokker-Planck equation in the equilibrium limit is satisfied by $P = e^{-S_0}$, and

$$\int dt \frac{\delta J_f}{\delta x(t)} = \int dt \frac{\delta}{\delta x(t)} (F e^{-S_0}) = 0. \quad (17)$$

(The last relation is easily shown in the ω plane and it is based on the fact that the range of integration in the ω variable is from $-\infty$ to ∞ while the integrand is an odd function of ω .) That is, $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J}_f = 0$. Therefore, the friction force produces currents that do not change the probability density, which corresponds to the fact that they do not alter the physical results.

One, however, can approach the problem in the following way: let's view $x(t)$ as a 0 + 1-dimensional field theory. Then, for the case $\gamma = 0$, $x(t)$ is real and it describes only particles. (Particles here correspond to energy quanta.) In this description, in the Feynman picture, positive frequency $\omega > 0$ which propagates forward in time and negative frequency $\omega < 0$ which propagates backward in time corresponds to the same particle state. Since friction breaks the time-reversal invariance, this is not a good description for the $\gamma \neq 0$ case. There exists, however, an equivalent description for particles in the frictionless case. This can be obtained

by considering a complex field but keeping only *positive* frequencies and *forward* propagation in time. This is, then, a more appropriate way to incorporate friction. In order to achieve it, we make the assumption that the field $x(t)$ in our Langevin equation is complex with only positive frequencies, that is, an analytic function in t in the upper half complex plane.

Given a real function $x(t)$ we can always construct a function analytic in the upper half plane (in general complex), with the use of the Hilbert transform of the function, as follows

$$x_\alpha(t) = x(t) + \frac{i}{\pi} P \int dt' \frac{x(t')}{t - t'} \equiv x(t) + iy(t) \quad (18)$$

where by P in front of the integral we mean principal value. With this $x_\alpha(t)$, $\tilde{x}_\alpha(\omega) = 0$ for any $\omega < 0$. We assume that the Langevin equation (7) is satisfied by the function $x_\alpha(t, \tau)$. Then, in order for the Langevin to be consistent, the white noise function should obey the same analyticity property. That is

$$\eta_\alpha(t) = \eta(t) + \frac{i}{\pi} P \int dt' \frac{\eta(t')}{t - t'} \equiv \eta(t) + i\eta_i(t) \quad (19)$$

In order to calculate correlation functions in this framework we must remember that our Langevin describes only particles and not antiparticles. Then, for $t > t'$ the appropriate correlation function to be computed is

$$< x_\alpha(t) x_\alpha^*(t') > \quad (20)$$

This gives the propagator for the particles moving forward in time from t' to t . (The propagator for the antiparticles is given by the complex conjugate of this quantity.)

Again it is convenient to go to the Fourier space. The Langevin is given by (8) where $\tilde{x}(\omega, \tau)$ and $\tilde{\eta}(\omega, \tau)$ have been replaced by $\tilde{x}_\alpha(\omega, \tau)$ and $\tilde{\eta}_\alpha(\omega, \tau)$ correspondingly. Since the white noise is an analytic function in the t -plane it

satisfies the condition $\tilde{\eta}_\alpha(\omega, \tau) = 0$ for any $\omega < 0$ in the ω -plane. Then the correlation functions for $\tilde{\eta}_\alpha$ obtained from (10) are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\eta}_\alpha(\omega, \tau) \tilde{\eta}_\alpha(\omega', \tau') \rangle &= 0 \\ \langle \tilde{\eta}_\alpha(\omega, \tau) \tilde{\eta}_\alpha^*(\omega', \tau') \rangle &= 8 \delta(\omega - \omega') \delta(\tau - \tau') \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

for both ω and ω' greater than zero.

Following the same procedure as before and using the last relations for the η -average we obtain the following expression for the two-point function of the analytic $x_\alpha(t)$

$$\langle x_\alpha(t) x_\alpha^*(t') \rangle = \frac{4}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{1}{m\omega^2 - 2\gamma\omega + k} e^{i\omega(t-t')} \quad (22)$$

which depends explicitly on the friction parameter.

Next, we compute the last integral. We are interested only in the case that $t > t'$. For $t > t'$ we can evaluate the integral by deforming the contour into the upper half ω plane. The integrand has poles at $\omega = \frac{\gamma}{m} \pm i\alpha$ where $\alpha \equiv \sqrt{\frac{k}{m} - \frac{\gamma^2}{m^2}}$ and we have assumed that $\gamma^2 < km$. If we further make the assumption that we are close to critical damping, that is, $\gamma^2 - km$ is a very small quantity, the poles will lie close to the real axis. We deform the contour as shown in fig. 1. Application of Cauchy's theorem around the contour C gives the following expression for the above integral

$$\frac{4}{2\pi} \int_\epsilon^{\epsilon+i\infty} d\omega \frac{1}{m\omega^2 - 2\gamma\omega + k} e^{i\omega(t-t')} + \frac{4}{2m\alpha} e^{(i\frac{\gamma}{m} - \alpha)(t-t')} \quad (23)$$

Then if we assume that $|t|\frac{\gamma}{m} \gg 1$ and $|t|\alpha \leq 1$ we can show that the integral in the above expression is negligible and we obtain the following result

$$\langle x_\alpha(t) x_\alpha^*(t') \rangle = \frac{2}{\sqrt{km - \gamma^2}} e^{(i\frac{\gamma}{m} - \sqrt{\frac{k}{m} - \frac{\gamma^2}{m^2}})(t-t')} \quad (24)$$

The last expression gives the propagator for the problem of a particle with friction. In Minkowski space this propagator is not unitary due to the reality of

the term proportional to $\frac{\gamma}{m}$ in the exponent. This means that there is particle loss in this picture, which corresponds to the decay of energy due to dissipation, since particles correspond to energy quanta. Indeed, from the classical equation of motion, the change in the energy (E) is given by

$$\dot{E} = -2\gamma\dot{x}^2 \quad (25)$$

Choosing, now, our state to be an almost “energy eigenstate” (which can be the case for $\gamma \ll 1$) we obtain

$$\langle \dot{E} \rangle = -2\gamma \langle \dot{x}^2 \rangle = -\frac{2\gamma}{m} \langle E \rangle \quad (26)$$

for the decay of the energy due to the friction. This is the same as the decay rate we obtain for the energy from the result (24).

Finally, one could solve the Langevin equation (7) by separating its real and imaginary parts. We obtain the following coupled equations for $x(t)$ and $y(t)$.

$$\frac{\partial x(t, \tau)}{\partial \tau} = m\ddot{x}(t, \tau) + 2\gamma\dot{y}(t, \tau) - kx(t, \tau) + \eta(t, \tau)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial y(t, \tau)}{\partial \tau} = m\ddot{y}(t, \tau) - 2\gamma\dot{x}(t, \tau) - ky(t, \tau) + \eta_i(t, \tau) \quad (27)$$

where $\dot{y}(t) = -\frac{1}{\pi}P \int \frac{x(t')}{(t-t')^2}dt'$ and $\ddot{y}(t) = \frac{2}{\pi}P \int \frac{x(t')}{(t-t')^3}dt'$ and by principal value, here, we mean

$$P \int \frac{x(t')}{(t-t')^n}dt' = \frac{1}{2} \left[\int dt' \frac{x(t')}{(t-t'+i\epsilon)^n} + \int dt' \frac{x(t')}{(t-t'-i\epsilon)^n} \right]. \quad (28)$$

The stochastic process is, then, defined by the equations (27).

The corresponding equation for $\tilde{x}(\omega, \tau)$ is

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{x}(\omega, \tau)}{\partial \tau} = (-m\omega^2 + 2\gamma|\omega| - k)\tilde{x}(\omega, \tau) + \tilde{\eta}(\omega, \tau) \quad (29)$$

The last equation coincides with the original Langevin (8) after the substitution of ω by $|\omega|$ and gives results for the correlation functions of $x(t)$ which depend on the friction parameter.

Indeed, with this Langevin the two-point function is expressed as an integral over ω in the following way

$$\langle x(t)x(t') \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{1}{m\omega^2 - 2\gamma|\omega| + k} e^{i\omega(t-t')} \quad (30)$$

The calculation of this integral follows similar lines as the previous one and the result for the correlation function is given by $\frac{1}{2}$ of the real part of the expression (24). (Remember that $x(t)$ here is the real part of $x_\alpha(t)$ and that $\tilde{y}(\omega) = -i \operatorname{sgn}(\omega) \tilde{x}(\omega)$, where by $\operatorname{sgn}(\omega)$ we denote the sign of ω .) This expression for $\gamma = 0$ reproduces the standard harmonic oscillator result (12). The full expression (24) can be recovered from (30) by using dispersion relations.

In what follows, we compare the stochastic approach with the path-integral approach of Caldeira and Leggett. We find that the stochastic quantization one obtains starting from the Langevin given by equations (27) is equivalent to the stochastic quantization one would obtain starting from the effective action of Caldeira and Leggett.

In order to see this, it is convenient to rewrite the non-local term of the action (4) with the use of the identity $x(t)x(t') \equiv \frac{1}{2}[x^2(t) + x^2(t')] - \frac{1}{2}[x(t) - x(t')]^2$. The effective action can be expressed as

$$S_{(eff)} = \int_0^\tau [\frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}^2 + V(x)]dt - \frac{\gamma}{2\pi}P \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\tau dt dt' \frac{x(t)x(t')}{(t-t')^2} + \frac{\gamma}{4\pi}P \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\tau dt dt' \frac{x^2(t) + x^2(t')}{(t-t')^2} \quad (31)$$

where the principal value in the last integrals is necessary in order to take care

of the infinity at $t = t'$. The last modification one has to do is to extend the limits of integration in (31) from $-\infty$ to ∞ [9]. It can be easily, then, shown that the last integral vanishes and the variation with respect to $x(t)$ of the remaining γ -dependent term in (31) gives

$$-\frac{\gamma}{\pi}P \int dt' \frac{x(t')}{(t-t')^2} \quad (32)$$

which coincides with the friction term of the first of the equations (27), was not for the factor of 2 we have multiplied our friction term.

Concluding, we like to emphasize that it seems peculiar that the stochastic quantization of our dissipative model is achieved by restricting the Fourier transform of the space-time variable to the positive half domain in the complex plane. The physics, however, dictates this choice as it is argued in the text. Choosing the solution of the Langevin equation to be a complex function is natural, since in our original Langevin $x(t)$ was complex. The extra restriction, however, to be analytic in t in the upper half plane stems from the fact that the Langevin should break the time-reversal invariance in order to describe friction. Notice at this point that if one complexifies both $x(t)$ and $\eta(t)$ in the Langevin one obtains doubling in the degrees of freedom of the problem. This doubling is eliminated after restricting the frequencies in the positive half domain in the complex plane.

It is interesting, nevertheless, to connect our approach with what is known about this problem. Due to the time-reversal non-invariance of the dissipative processes, retarded Green's functions are more appropriate to use in order to describe them than the symmetric time-ordered ones [16]. The reason is that, since the system loses energy irreversibly, a function with only forward propagation in time can describe it. The standard stochastic approach, however, gives the Feynman propagator, which is symmetric under time reversal. Indeed, our two-point function (13) obtained by straightforward application of stochastic quantization does not break time-reversal and does not describe dissipation. Formulating, on the other hand, the problem such that it involves fields which are analytic in the upper

half plane (or equivalently fields that contain only positive frequencies) we have broken time-reversal in such a way that when time runs from $-\infty$ to ∞ these fields are appropriate to describe the forward propagation in time. The complex conjugate of these fields gives the antiparticle propagation, which can be equivalently obtained by performing a time reversal operation in the original fields. The exact relation, however, of our approach with the retarded Green's function approach, or equivalently with the Keldysh formulation [16–17] for nonequilibrium phenomena in quantum field theory, is a subject for further investigation.

It is also interesting to notice that the fact that the stochastic approach with the ω restricted in the positive half plane gives friction-dependent results is related to the divergence of the corresponding probability current as explained earlier. Indeed, in this case, due to the fact that the range of integration of ω is from 0 to ∞ , the calculation of the divergence of the J_f current leads to a nonzero result. Therefore, the friction currents change the probability density in this case, as they should.

Finally, in general, the stochastic quantization of dissipative systems will work in similar patterns; that is, one has to complexify each real coordinate of the system and keep only positive frequencies.

The material of this paper was presented at the “Lattice Field Theory Workshop”, Vienna, June 11-12, 1993 and at the “IV International conference on mathematical physics, string theory and quantum gravity”, Alushta, 13-24 June, 1993.

After the completion of this work, preprint [18] appeared where the prescription $\omega \rightarrow |\omega|$ is used in the finite temperature stochastic quantization of the system.

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank I. Bengtsson for pointing out to me references [3], H. Hansson for discussions, V.P. Nair for useful comments and a critical reading of the manuscript, and A.P. Polychronakos for many enlightening discussions and for a critical reading of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. V. Bargmann and E.P. Wigner, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **34** (1948) 211.
2. V.P. Nair and R. Jackiw, *Phys. Rev. D* **43** (1991) 1993.
3. L.P.S. Singh and C.R. Hagen, *Phys. Rev. D* **9** (1974) 898; C. Fronsdal, *Phys. Rev. D* **18** (1978) 3624; J. Fang and C. Fronsdal, *Phys. Rev. D* **18** (1978) 3630; E.S. Fradkin and M.A. Vasiliev, *Nucl. Phys.* **291** (1987) 141.
4. W. Dittrich and M. Reuter, in “*Classical and Quantum Dynamics: from Classical Path to Path-Integral*”, Berlin, Germany: Springer (1992) 341 p.
5. C.G. Callan and L. Thorlacius, *Nucl. Phys.* **B329** (1990) 117; C.G. Callan, A.G. Felce and D.E. Freed, *Nucl. Phys.* **392** (1993) 551.
6. M.D. Kostin, *J. Chem. Phys.* **57** (1972) 3589.
7. H. Dekker, *Z. Phys.* **B21** (1975) 295; *Phys. Rev. A* **16** (1977) 2116.
8. S. Nakamiya, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **20** (1958) 948; I.R. Senitzky, *Phys. Rev.* **150** (1960) 670; R. Zwanzig, *J. Chem. Phys.* **33** (1960) 1338; H. Mori, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **33** (1965) 423.
9. A.O. Caldeira and A.J. Legget, *Physica* **A121** (1983) 587; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **46** (1981) 211; *Ann. Phys.* **149** (1983) 374.
10. A. P. Polychronakos and R. Tzani, *Phys. Lett.* **B302** (1993) 255.
11. C. G. Callan, Jr. and D. Freed, *Nucl. Phys.* **374** (1992) 543.
12. K. Yasue, *Ann. Phys.* **114** (1978) 479.
13. E. Nelson, *Phys. Rev.* **150** (1966) 1079.
14. G. Parisi and Y.S. Wu, *Sci. Sin.* **24** (1981) 483.
15. J.R. Klauder, *Act. Phys. Austr. Suppl.* **XXV** (1983) 251; H. Gausterer and J.R. Klauder, *Phys. Rev.* **33** (1986) 251; J. Ambjorn, M. Flensburg, C. Peterson, *Nucl. Phys.* **275** (1986) 375; J. Ambjorn and S.K. Yang *Nucl.*

- Phys.* **275** (1986) 18; J. Ambjorn, *In Tbilisi 1986, Proceedings, Quarks '86* 303-315; A.P. Polychronakos and R. Tzani, *Phys. Lett.* **259B** (1991) 291.
16. R. Kubo, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **12** (1957) 570; R. Jackiw and V.P. Nair, *Phys. Rev. D* **48** (1993) 4991.
17. L.V. Keldysh, *Sov. Phys.-JETP* **20** (1964) 1018; E.M. Lifshitz and L.P. Pitaevskii, *Physical Kinetics* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1981).
18. N. Nakazawa and E. Sakane, *preprint* NBI-HE-94-19

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-th/9404167v1>